## MGMT 212/810: Social Entrepreneurship (1.0 cu)

#### Spring 2015 - Version 1.6: 012015

#### This syllabus is a game plan subject to moderate change, it is not a contract

Ian MacMillan 4th Floor Vance Hall – 37<sup>th</sup> and Spruce Office hours: MW 8.00 am to 9.00 am by appointment only macmilli@wharton.upenn.edu

TA Stephanie Pow stephpow@wharton.upenn.edu 4th Floor Vance Hall – 37<sup>th</sup> and Spruce Office Hours: Tuesday 1:30 pm - 3:00 pm or by appointment

#### **Materials**

- Textbook" "The Social Entrepreneur's Playbook" by MacMillan and Thompson
- Bulk pack

### Course Description and Philosophy

Please note this is NOT a course in not-for-profit or NGO management. Please, this is NOT a course in not-for-profit or NGO management.

This is a course on creating a business to attack a social problem and thereby accomplish both social impact and financial sustainability. For this course, social entrepreneurship is defined as entrepreneurship used to profitably confront social problems. This definition therefore views social entrepreneurship as a distinct alternative to public sector initiatives. The basic thesis is that many social problems, if looked at through an entrepreneurial lens, create opportunity for someone to launch a venture that generates profits by alleviating that social problem. This sets in motion a virtuous cycle – the entrepreneur is incented to generate more profits and in so doing, the more the profits made, the more the problem is alleviated. Even if it is not possible to eventually create a profit-making enterprise, the process of striving to do so can lead to a resource-leaner not-for-profit entity.

Creating a profitable social entrepreneurship venture is by no means a simple challenge. It involves deeply understanding how to prioritize a multi-mission entity, how to analyze and engage traditional agencies, how to formulate political strategies to develop influence and social assets in target beneficiary markets, how to forge negotiating strategies for securing resources, how to capture publicity for the enterprise, and generally how to minimize resource requirements.

**Note:** MGMT 806: *Formation and Implementation of Entrepreneurial Ventures* and MGMT 810 apply a common theoretical framework to businesses with differing value propositions; therefore, students should **not** plan their course of study to include both of these courses. There is considerable overlap.

## COURSE COMPONENTS

The course comprises four major components: 1. A social Venture business plan 2. A readings report. 3. Several NationBoosting Presentations. 4 Class participation.

# 1. Social Venture Business Plan (SVBP) (55% of grade)

In this course we will begin to demonstrate the validity of the societal entrepreneurship thesis. Student groups will conceive of possible social wealth generating ventures and then develop a plan to seed the formation of a business as a formal experiment. Our hope is that a meaningful fraction of these plans will result in the actual launch of a social entrepreneurship enterprise.

The development of business plans for societal entrepreneurship ventures poses a significant challenge. Unlike an entrepreneurial project, where the prime purpose is the creation of a rent-generating enterprise, in this course we will be looking at dual pursuit of social wealth alleviation and rent generation accompanied by the development of a dual objective business plan.

Thus a major component of the course is for teams of students to develop a Social Venture Business Plan **in PowerPoint format**. Individual students' grades will be determined by the score their team receives, adjusted by a peer review rating of their contribution, as described below.

# "Live Case Study" Methodology

In addition to some traditional cases this course will employ what we call a "live case" methodology. The projects on which the student groups are working will be used as live cases to demonstrate the principles to be applied in social entrepreneurship venture situations. We shall undertake active discussion of the projects which the students are developing. A student team will present operational or strategic challenges that he or she is facing in their social entrepreneurship venture. Then class members will be expected to participate in the live case studies by making suggestions and presenting possible solutions to the speaker's challenges.

# 2. Readings Report: Project Team report (10% of grade)

In addition, a considerable component of the course will involve your reading and internalizing theoretical materials germane to social entrepreneurship venturing. You will be asked to submit a MGMT212/810: Social Entrepreneurship 2/10

readings report which summarizes the key insights you obtained from the readings and the degree to which they applied to your projects. **This is a project team report**.

### Readings report description

<u>Main report</u>. Your five page report should describe how the bulk pack (**not textbook**) readings applied to your societal venturing project. The structure is simple: Pick the five readings that you think were the most useful for a decision your team made about your venture. The reading does not have to support your decision, but please explain what concepts and conclusions were useful to you and how you incorporated the learnings into your venture.

<u>Appendix:</u> List all readings (including your five most useful) and rate each reading's usefulness along the spectrum: no value, some value, useful, very useful.

<u>Recommended additions and deletions.</u> After the appendix also include recommendations of any readings your team is aware of that did not appear in the required readings list, but you feel should be included. Also indicate any readings from this course that you had in **other** courses, and which courses these were.

This report will then be used to enhance the readings the next time the course is offered.

## 3. Nation Boosting Presentations (15% your grade)

Your team will be asked to make **three** presentations of proposals to make a Nation Boosting intervention to attend to and ameliorate a distressed social situation, at a national level, using tools you have learned in the course. Your team will present the results of work you have done in class presentations which will be scored by the rest of the class – call this "crowd-grading". This is part of the course is going to push you to the edge of your creativity, so this course is not for the faint of heart or feeble of spirit! The details of each presentation will be explained in the course of the program.

## 4. Class participation (20% of grade)

You have good experience and sharp intellects. There is much we can learn from these, so we expect you will demonstrate these endowments by active contribution to the class discussion

## **Prerequisites**

MGMT230 or MGMT801 are strongly recommended prerequisites. It is expected that much of the materials covered in MGMT 230 or MGMT 801 will have already been mastered or will be mastered early in this course.

The assigned readings in the course are heavy at the beginning of the course. As you get into the course your time will be spent preparing your SWBP, so reading load will be reduced. For each session the required readings must have been studied in advance.

From time to time, mid-course changes in presentations or materials may be made to accommodate schedule changes of visiting speakers.

### Bulk pack

The bulk pack is divided into two components: Required Readings and Supplemental Materials. Mastery of the required readings should be demonstrated in the final business plan presented at the end of the program. The supplemental materials are provided for those students who are determined to pursue a career focused on social entrepreneurship and want more insight and depth of understanding of the challenges they will face.

### Venture teams

Extensive group work outside of class is an essential part of this course. Course participants are to form social venture teams of **at least five students** whose talents, skills and knowledge are preferably complementary. If students are not in project teams by the project team formation deadline they will be assigned to a team. Student project teams should sit together in the class since we shall be doing some in-class workshops on the team projects.

## Your Team's Assessment of Your Contribution to the Team Effort

You will be asked to use the team member evaluation form (attached at the end of the syllabus) to rate the contribution of each of the team members by assigning a percentage effort score to that member. These scores will be used to calculate the weight that will be applied to the individual's teamwork

Allocate EACH member except yourself up to 100 points. **So, if you think all members contributed equally, each would get 100 points from you**. If a team member did not contribute as much as others, that low contributor team member should get less than 100 points. So someone who you think only put in half the effort of others would get 50 points. The scores will be consolidated as in the example below.

PERCENTAGE GIVEN TO →		Given TO Person A	Given TO Person B	Given TO Person C
	BY Person A	NA	100	65
PERCENTAGE GIVEN BY →	BY Person B	85	NA	55
DI 7	BY Person C	75	100	NA
AVERAGE		80	100	60

In second row of the example above A felt B had contributed a great deal so B's effort was scored 100 by A, whereas A felt C had not contributed much and so a score of only 65 was given by A to C. As

you work through the table you will we see that this team was (unusually) dysfunctional) – only B was deemed to have made a 100 percent contribution by the other two team members

# **GRAND AVERAGE** (80+ 100+ 60)/3 = 80

The Member Weighting will then be calculated as follows:

	Person A	Person B	Person C		
Weighting = Avg./Grand Avg. = Adjustment	80/80	100/80	60/80		
Adjustment	1	1.25	.75		
So if the team report score was 70 points then:					
Person A would get 1.00 x 70 = 70					
Person B would get 1.25 x 70 = 88					

Person C would get  $0.75 \times 70 = 53$ 

### To repeat, the following weights determine the final course grade:

- 55% of your grade will be based directly on the grade given to the team for the SWBP, adjusted by the group weighting system described above
- **15%** of your grade will be based on your Nation Boosting presentations, **adjusted by the group** weighting system described above
- 10% of your grade will be based on the readings report\_adjusted by the group weighting system described above
- 20% of your grade is based on individual class participation

Some ground rules: If you elect to take this class you are assumed to agree to the following conditions:

- 1. **If you are an MBA**, **y**ou recognize that the course is graded to the curve for MBA's. Undergrads and non-Wharton students are not subject to grading to a curve
- You recognize that a significant percentage of your grade will be dependent on project team work, and will therefore abide by the weighting score developed from your peer project team members' ratings of your contribution.
- 3. No cell phones. No texting/use of Smartphones, etc.

## **Confidentiality**

The University is a community for the exchange of ideas and knowledge; policy discourages confidentiality arrangements. In those instances where confidentiality does not interfere with class MGMT212/810: Social Entrepreneurship 5/10

learning, the instructor will honor requests for confidentiality of student projects on a case-by-case basis. Students will be expected to respect the intellectual property of others. DETAILED SYLLABUS: **This is a preliminary reading schedule, subject to modest change.** The numbers in the column headed "Readings" are the numbers of the articles in the readings list below. Required Textbook Chapter readings are labeled **CHy** for Chapter y.

DATE	TOPIC	MATERIALS DUE	READING
1/14	INTRODUCTION	Playpump	1, 2, TextBook intro page xi
1/21	Course overview: SE plan content	Playpump sequel	3,4,5 <b>CH1</b>
1/26	PROBLEM SPECIFICATION, PERFORMANCE CRITERIA AND SEGMENTATION		6, CH2, CH3
1/28	Guest speaker: Peter Frumpkin – Conceiving social enterprises		7,8
2/2	Project proposals and team formation BENEFICIARY EXPERIENCE TABLE	Maximum four minute presentation of social venture idea	18, <b>, CH4</b>
2/4	Guest speaker: Samir Mithal – WaterHealth International		9,10,
2/9	BRIEFING: WORKSHOPS AND NATIONBOOSTER PRESENTATIONS	TEAM FORMATION COMPLETED If you are not in a team of at least five by now you will randomly be assigned to one	12,14,15,16, 27
2/11	DELIVERABLES TABLES	TEAM PROJECT: PROBLEM SPECIFICATION	18
2/16	MOST COMPETITIVE ALTERNATIVE AND CAPABILITY TABLES	TEAM PROJECT: SEGMENTS AND SEED SEGMENT	19,20, 27, <b>CH5</b>
2/18	Guest speaker: Yonibenyehuda – Ripyl		
2/23	OPERATIONS REALITIES: COST AND ASSET TABLES	TEAM PROJECT: EXPERIENCE AND DELIVERABLES TABLES	CH6
2/25	WORKSHOP: COST AND ASSET TABLES	TEAM PROJECT: MCA AND CAPABILITY TABLES	
3/2	NATIONBOOSTER PRESENTATIONS 1	NATIONBOOSTER1: Harsh Life Hassles Presentation	12,13
3/4	Guest speaker: Jim Thompson	TEAM PROJECT: COST AND ASSET TABLES	
3/16	STAKEHOLDER IMPACT TABLE AND STAKEHOLDER MAPPING		
3/18	Guest speaker: Damian Salas B corporations		22,23,24
3/23	SOCIOPOLITICAL STRATEGY	TEAM PROJECT: STAKEHOLDER IMPACT TABLE AND STAKEHOLDER MAPPING	СН8
3/25	DDP, FRAME AND SCOPE		
3/30	Workshop: Framing and scoping	TEAM PROJECT: SOCIOPOLITICAL STRATEGY	
4/1	NATIONBOOSTER PRESENTATIONS 2	NationBooster2: Netware Presentation	CH10
4/6	Guest speaker: Spencer Penn-SweetBites	TEAM PROJECT: CONCEPT STATEMENT	
4/8	ASSUMPTION/CHECKPOINT TABLE	TEAM PROJECT: FRAME AND SCOPE	CH11
4/13	CHECKPOINT ASSUMPTION WORKSHOP		
4/15	NATIONBOOSTER PRESENTATIONS 3	NATIONBOOSTER 3: Fallow Talent CH12	
4/20	LAUNCH /DOWNSIDING/DISENGAGEMENT	TEAM PROJECT: CHECKPOINT/ASSUMPTION	
4/22	Guest Speaker: Gene Wade – UniversityNow		CH13
4/27	SCALE UP	TEAM PROJECT: "LIGHT FOOTPRINT"25, CH14STRATEGY25, CH14	
4/29	FINAL PRESENTATIONS	Business Plan PowerPoint Deck and Readings Report due at noon	

#### **Reading list.**

- 1. Dees and Backman, "Social Enterprise: Private Initiatives for the Common Good," Harvard Business School note #9-395-116.
- 2. Dees & Oberfield, "Note on Starting a Nonprofit Venture," HBS 391-096
- 3. Rangan, Karim, and Sandberg, "Do Better At Doing Good," HBR 96308
- 4. Dees, "Enterprising Nonprofits," HBR 98105
- 5. The Not-So-Great Professor: Jeffrey Sachs' Incredible Failure to Eradicate Poverty in Africa
- 6. Theroux, Paul, "Africa's Aid Mess"
- 7. Easterly, William, "The Ideology of Development", Foreign Policy.
- 8. Brown and Watt: "Design Thinking for Social Innovation"
- 9. D.G. McNeil "Five years in, Gauging Impact of Gates Grants" New York Times, December 20, 2010.
- 10. Bajaj, V "Microlenders, Honored with Nobel, are Struggling" New York Times, January 5, 2011.
- 11. Brooks, David, "Smart Power Setback", New York Times, June 21, 2011.
- 12. C.K. Prahalad, Allen Hammond "Serving the World's Poor, Profitably" September 2002 Issue Reprint # R0209C
- 13. Karamchandani, Ashish, Kubzansky, Mike, and Lalwani, Nishant, "Is the Bottom of the Pyramid Really for you"? Harvard Business Review.
- 14. Altering Clothes and Lives, With Design
- 15. Bridging the Clothing Divide
- 16. Can Coffee Kick Start an Economy?
- 17. R. Gunther McGrath and Ian C. MacMillan "Discovery-driven planning," Harvard Business Review, Volume 73, No. 4, July-August 1995. pp. 44-54.
- 18. PATH case
- 19. Acumen case
- 20. Bhanoo, N. Sindya, "The PeePoo, a Biodegradable Toilet for the Developing World", New York Times, September 26, 2011
- 21. MacMillan, I.C. "The politics of new venture management," HBR Nov./Dec., 1983.
- 22. Letts, Ryan, & Grossman, "Virtuous Capital," HBR 97207
- 23. Dees & Dolby, "Sources of Financing for New Nonprofit Ventures," HBS 391-097
- 24. Foroohar, Rana, "Where the money is".
- 25. Why Some Countries Go Bust
- 26. What causes young inner-city men to kill each other?
- 27. Showers on Wheels

TEAM MEMBER EVALUATION FORM				
(to be submitted on the last day of class) You may send via email				
Your Name:				
Team Member's Name	% Effort			
(Please print)				
	(Min 0%, Max 100%)			
DO NOT RATE YOURSELF	1			
NOTE: Allocate EACH member up to 100 points. So if	f all members contributed equally each gets			
100 points. If particular team members did not contribution	ute as much as others each low contributing			
team member will get less than 100 points.				